

**LONG TERM CAPITAL PLAN SUBMISSION
AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

PURPOSE:

To present the 2009 Long Term Capital Plan to the Board for approval in principle.

BACKGROUND:

As outlined in the attached report, this is the last in a series of five presentations/reports to the Board on the 2009 Long Term Capital Plan.

COMMENTS:

1. The attached report prepared by Watson & Associates provides a synopsis of the process used to develop the 2009 Long Term Capital Plan thus far. The report identifies the proposed capital expenditure summary for the period 2009/10 to 2022/23, based on certain demographic and enrolment trends, a fiscal analysis yielding a proposed accommodation strategy, and certain underlying assumptions. The report was presented as a draft at the January 20, 2009 Board Meeting. Trustees requested that they be provided a month to review and consider the contents.
2. In particular, the detailed capital expenditure summary for the fifteen year forecast period is referenced on Page 6 and 7 of the report, with the specifics included in Table 2 and Table 3. Section A of Table 2 identifies the projected facility utilization (enrolment vs. capacity) including the effects of Full Day JK/SK (FD45). Section B and C show the additional pupil places that would be generated from new capital projects, both with and without FD45. Section C suggests proposed adjustments by review area that may be required due to declining enrolments and the proposed timing of the formation of an Accommodation Review process under the Board's School Closure/Consolidation policy.
3. The Long Term Capital Plan is a flexible roadmap identifying future school accommodation adjustments that will likely be required based on the enrolment assumptions contained therein. The plan identifies potential new schools and additions as well as possible reductions in pupil places by review area. The timeline for these adjustments included in the plan can and likely will change based on any number of factors, including Ministry policy/program initiatives, enrolment changes different than those forecasted and the economy. Consideration of the implementation of any actual pupil accommodation adjustments will be brought to the Board for approval, well in advance, in accordance with established policies and procedures.

CONCLUSION:

This report was presented in draft to the Board at the January 20, 2009 Board Meeting. It was identified at that time that the report will be presented at the March 3, 2009 Board Meeting as an action item, recommending approval in principle of the 2009 Long Term Capital Plan and Financial Analysis, along with its submission to the Ministry.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

RESOLUTION:

*Moved by:
Seconded by:*

RESOLVED, that the Halton Catholic District School Board hereby approves in principle, the “ Long Term Capital Plan 2009 and Financial Analysis (Approval in Principle)”;

AND that, Board Staff be directed to input the requisite data into the Ministry of Education’s Capital Planning Website based on the details in the Watson and Associates report.

REPORT PREPARED BY: D. RENZELLA
ADMINISTRATOR, PLANNING SERVICES

REPORT REVIEWED BY: P. MCMAHON
SUPERINTENDENT OF BUSINESS SERVICES AND TREASURER OF THE BOARD

REPORT APPROVED BY: M. PAUTLER
DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION AND SECRETARY OF THE BOARD

HALTON CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

January 20, 2009

LONG TERM CAPITAL PLAN SUBMISSION AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

BACKGROUND:

In August 2008, the Ministry of Education issued Memorandum B12 outlining the following request to school boards:

“School boards are asked to review and update their Capital Plans to ensure that current and planned projects, intended to be opened and operating for the 2009/10, 2010/11 and 2011/12 school years are reflected.”

“Boards are also asked to ensure that their Capital Plans reflect up-to-date enrolment projections for all schools.”

“Boards are asked to update their Capital Plans and submit their business cases (outlining their capital priorities for funding consideration) by October 31, 2008.”

In September, 2008, Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. (W&A) were asked to assist Board staff in the preparation of 15-year enrolment projections in order to enable the Board to determine short, medium and long term capital priorities, as well as assess the Board’s ability to fund the priority capital projects under the existing capital funding parameters. In addition, W&A were asked to prepare a business case submission to the Ministry of Education under the Growth Schools funding initiative and to submit this material for funding consideration on October 31, 2008. Finally, the consultants were asked to make a series of four presentations to the Board outlining:

1. Presentation One - Demographic and Enrolment Trends – November 4, 2008;
2. Presentation Two - Long Term Accommodation Requirements – November 18, 2008;
3. Presentation Three - Capital Expenditure Fiscal Impact Analysis – December 2, 2008;
4. Presentation Four - Summary Capital Plan Submission Outlining Proposed Capital Expenditure Priorities and Capital Funding Sources – January 20, 2009.

A summary of the findings presented as part of the first three presentations is outlined below:

Demographic and Enrolment Trends:

Updated enrolment projections for the period 2008/09 to 2022/23 were prepared for each HCDSB school. The student projections took into consideration the school-age demographic trends arising from the 2006 Census undertaking, as well as the more recent enrolment experience of the co-terminous Halton Boards. Municipal planning staff provided insights into the potential timing of new residential

development, as well as any perspectives on the proposed servicing of vacant residential lands. The Halton Region Best Planning Estimates were used to derive a 15-year annual housing forecast by dwelling type. A single year of age projection (i.e., cohort analysis) was prepared for the Region as a whole, in order to cross-check the enrolment projections. Finally, the enrolment projections were modified to take into consideration the potential for full-day learning for 4- and 5-year olds starting in 2010/11. While there is no commitment by the Province to any specific implementation of the full-day learning program, boards are being provided with the capital funds to provide the program space in new school construction in the interim.

The analysis of demographic and enrolment trends for the HCDSB resulted in the following conclusions:

1. Between 2001/02 and 2007/08 elementary enrolment increased by 1,285 pupil places (i.e., based on average daily enrolment (ADE) plus the full-day learning initiative commencing in 2010/11), for an average growth rate of 1.3%. This growth rate is expected to continue over the next fifteen years provided that: the full-day learning initiative is fully implemented; new housing development in Milton continues to generate the type of occupancy it has experienced since 2001; and there is sufficient housing demand to support the servicing and development of North Oakville within the next five years.
2. Between 2001/02 and 2007/08 secondary enrolment increased by 2,310 pupil places for an average growth rate of 5.5% per annum. Over the next 15 years, enrolment growth is expected to add an additional 2,216 pupil places, for an average growth rate of 1.6% per annum. This decreased growth rate is reflective of the change in the structure of the elementary grades since 2002/03. That is, there are fewer pupils entering the elementary system than graduating from Grade 8. In fact, between 2002/03 and 2007/08 the total number of JK registrations increased by 6%, while the number of students leaving Grade 8 increased by 20%.
3. New housing construction between 2001 and 2006 (Census periods) resulted in 23,300 new residences being added to the housing inventory. During 1996 to 2001, this figure was 15,500 additional homes.
4. Between 2001 and 2006, all municipalities experienced an increase in elementary school-age population (i.e., 4- to 13-year olds), with the Town of Milton exhibiting the largest increase of 2,850 persons.
5. Both the Town of Milton and the Town of Halton Hills exhibited the largest increases in secondary school-aged population (i.e., 14- to 17-year olds) at 32.5% and 26.1%, respectively.
6. Females are having children later in life and fewer in number. In fact, females between the ages of 30 to 35 accounted for 48% of the total births in 1994, compared to 61% of the total births in 2004.
7. Net migration has accounted for a significant influx of school-aged children. This increase offsets the decline that the Board would otherwise have experienced from maturing communities in the Region.
8. The HCDSB's share of total elementary enrolment (i.e., apportionment share between HDSB and HCDSB) declined by 1.6% between 2002/03 to 2007/08. Secondary apportionment, however, has increased by 3.5% during the same time frame.

9. **There are distinctive areas of enrolment growth and decline within the Board's jurisdiction.** Areas of enrolment decline include Burlington south of the QEW and north-west Burlington, Oakville south of the QEW and north-central Oakville, as well as the mature communities of Milton. Areas of significant enrolment growth include the Milton Urban Expansion area, north-west Oakville and the new development area north of Dundas St. In Oakville and, to a lesser extent, Georgetown West.

The foregoing information was presented to Trustees during the November 4, 2008 Board meeting.

Long Term Accommodation Requirements:

The second presentation was held on November 18, 2008 and dealt with the impact of projected enrolment on facility utilization within each elementary and secondary review area, and offers perspectives on the number of surplus and deficit pupil spaces that will be generated in each area, given projected enrolment. Review areas are artificial constructs used by the Ministry to assess growth-related land and building needs. They generally comprise one or more elementary/secondary attendance boundaries.

The presentation also provided perspectives on capital infrastructure and program needs Province-wide, indicating that:

- There are fewer Ontario school boards with overall enrolment growth; the anticipated decline in secondary enrolments in 2010/11 will impact the number of school boards with overall enrolment growth;
- There are an increased number of school boards with areas of significant enrolment decline, offset by fewer pockets of enrolment growth;
- The school inventory is aging and a large percentage of the smallest schools (i.e., less than 350 pp in size) will have the lowest utilization rates and highest facility renewal needs over the next 15 years;
- Consolidate/replace accommodation strategies will likely result in an inventory of fewer/larger schools;
- Most boards have sufficient building capacity to accommodate full-day learning programs and the introduction of this program initiative takes a significant step towards one-stop shopping for parents;
- There is increased interest in single track French Immersion and regional programs; parents will seek more specialization of programs as a way to achieve the private school experience in a publicly funded setting.

In addition, the presentation looked at the areas of enrolment growth and decline within the Board's jurisdiction and concluded that:

1. There is potential to construct one (1) new secondary school to serve new housing development in Milton;
2. There is potential to construct three (3) new elementary schools; one each in Oakville, Milton and Halton Hills;
3. There is potential to construct additions at three (3) elementary schools and one (1) secondary school;
4. In the areas of significant enrolment decline, there is potential to consolidate seven (7) elementary schools in Oakville and Burlington, or 8 to 9 elementary schools if the full-day learning program is not initiated by the Province;

5. In areas of enrolment decline, a number of the schools are less than 350 pupil places in size and their facility renewal needs are higher than the Board's asset inventory norm.

Capital Expenditure and Fiscal Impact Analysis:

The third presentation was made during the December 2, 2008 Board meeting and provided a fiscal impact analysis of the accommodation strategies outlined during the November 18th presentation (and noted above).

There are a multitude of considerations in assessing the types of accommodation strategies that would provide enhanced learning opportunities, improve buildings and program spaces, and do so in a cost effective manner. A fiscal impact analysis enables a school board to determine the long-term impact of various accommodation options on school operations, school administration and facility renewal, and assess this impact against the status quo (i.e., the existing inventory of schools). Further, the fiscal impact analysis enables a board to test various accommodation strategies from the perspective of:

- The number of surplus or deficit classroom spaces over a 15-year period;
- Facility utilization (the number of pupil spaces divided into the total number of classroom spaces);
- Net school operations costs (i.e., operations revenue less expenditures);
- Net school administration costs (i.e., administration revenue less expenditures);
- Impact on facility renewal needs over the forecast period and beyond;
- Potential impact on program viability and the ability to provide inspirational, safe and green learning spaces.

A fiscal impact analysis provides an understanding of how demographic shifts, the size of schools and their utilization rates affect a board's ability to operate within the Provincial funding parameters. From a macro level, it provides a longer term view of the potential level of program and facility upgrades that could be made Province-wide, if the majority of the schools were fully utilized.

From a methodological perspective, the Board's elementary and secondary review areas have been divided into areas of enrolment growth from areas of enrolment stability and/or decline. The analysis provides a 15-year simulation of the accommodation strategy outlined on November 18th, in assessing:

- The status quo (i.e., no changes to the number of schools or the number of classroom spaces);
- The status quo removing all "holding" pupils and returning them to their resident area, where feasible, in order to demonstrate the true impact of projected enrolment within the holding area;
- The proposed accommodation strategy presented on November 18th, including new school construction, additions to existing schools, facility replacement, facility relocation and the consolidation of surplus spaces.

Table 1, which is attached, sets out the fiscal impact analysis of the HCDSB Long Term Capital Plan. All of the dollar values shown in the table are expressed in current dollars; therefore, the values shown assume that Provincial grants will increase proportionately to expenditures, over time. If grant rates fail to increase proportionately to expenditures, then the financial results will be less optimistic than shown. As well, if the Board spends more on the capital projects than the Provincial funding benchmarks, then the financial results are less optimistic than shown.

The projected enrolments shown on Table 1 are reflective of average daily enrolment, plus the expectation of full-day learning for 4-and 5-year olds. All costs are reflective of the existing space per pupil and construction benchmarks.

The upper portion of Table 1 assesses the 15-year fiscal impact of school operations (i.e., heating, lighting and cleaning), school administration (i.e., principals, vice-principals and school support staff) and facility condition (i.e., expressed as “Facility Renewal Condition Index,” or FCI¹) of the existing school inventory. The upper portion of the table also assesses the fiscal impact of each area where holding pupils are returned to their resident area (e.g., Area E1 Excluding Alton holding – Burlington).

The lower portion of Table 1 assesses the fiscal impact of the proposed accommodation strategy that would see the construction of four (4) new schools and additions to four (4) existing schools to serve enrolment growth. The analysis assumes the implementation of the full-day learning initiative in 2010/11 and seeks to reduce the number of surplus classroom spaces in the areas of enrolment decline through the consolidation and/or consolidation/replacement of up to seven (7) elementary schools.

The following observations are made about the analysis:

Fiscal Impact Analysis – Status Quo:

- Total enrolment (ADE plus FD 45) is expected to increase by 5,568 pupil spaces, which will result in a shortfall of 6,974 classroom spaces if no additional classroom spaces are constructed over the 15-year forecast period;
- In 2007/08, school operations expenditures exceeded Provincial grants for all elementary areas with the exception of Halton Hills; 4 of 6 secondary areas operated at a surplus;
- In 2022/23, the shortfall in school operations will grow from 3.0% to 16.4% and school operations expenditures will exceed revenues in all areas of the Board’s jurisdiction;
- While school administration costs operated at a surplus in all areas of the Board’s jurisdiction in 2007/08 (i.e., a 10.5% surplus), it is expected that the Board will generate a 4.1% shortfall in funding these costs by 2022/23;
- In accordance with the Provincial Condition Assessment,² the Board’s facility renewal needs will grow from \$30.6 million in 2007/08 to \$109.6 million in 2018/19. The Board’s annual facility renewal grant entitlement is in the range of \$3.1 million.

Fiscal Impact Analysis – Proposed Accommodation Strategy:

- The proposed accommodation strategy would enable the Board to reduce the utilization rate from 127.4% to 106.2% in 2022/23 (i.e., reduce the number of pupils that would have to be accommodated in portables, portapaks, etc.);
- In 2022/23, the proposed accommodation strategy would generate a 3.6% surplus in *school operations* rather than the 16.4% deficit generated under the status quo scenario;
- In 2022/23, the proposed accommodation strategy would generate an 8.6% surplus in *school administration* rather than the 4.1% deficit generated under the status quo scenario;
- Facility renewal costs to 2018/19 would be reduced from \$109.6 million to \$57.7 million.

Finally, it is noted that the proposed accommodation strategy frees up \$7.2 million annually (i.e., by the Year 2022/23) that could be used to invest in program and facility revitalization, although it is recognized that \$4.8 million of this total is resultant taxpayer savings, while only \$2.4 million is Board savings.

¹ Facility Condition Index is measured as the cumulative costs of facility and renewal repair needs divided into the facility replacement cost in current dollars).

² In 2003/04, the Ministry of Education hired a consulting firm to assess school condition. Building professionals inspected Ontario schools in order to develop estimates of the value of facility renewal work required in each facility on a life cycle asset replacement basis.

Capital Expenditure Summary 2009/10 to 2022/23:

Tables 2 and 3 set out an annual capital expenditure summary for the Board and for the elementary and secondary panels respectively. The capital expenditure summary covers the 15-year period 2009/10 through 2022/23. The information is expressed in terms of the number of pupil places to be added or removed from the inventory under the proposed accommodation scenario and during the forecast period.

Section A provides a summary of the existing On-the-Ground (OTG) capacity of the Board's inventory of active schools, or 16,612 elementary and 8,811 secondary pupil places as of 2008/09. For the period 2009/10 and beyond, these figures reflect the opening of the replacement St. Peter facility and Milton #4 in Milton, along with a 210-pupil place addition at St. Ignatius of Loyola and the construction of 300 additional pupil places at St. Thomas Aquinas (i.e., through the construction of a replacement facility) in Oakville.

Section A also provides a summary of projected average daily enrolment over the forecast period plus the number of projected pupil spaces to be added if the full-day learning initiative is implemented. The number of surplus or deficit pupil spaces for each year of the forecast period is shown at the bottom of this section.

Sections B, C and D in Tables 2 and 3 set out an annual capital expenditure forecast for the Board's consideration. Capital projects are delineated by projects for which the timing of implementation of the full-day learning initiative has, or does not have, an impact on the timing of the proposed capital project. It is noted, the construction of a 400-pupil place replacement elementary school in north-central Oakville does not have a specific funding source under the existing Provincial funding model.

Section E proposes reductions to the Board's inventory over the forecast period. A total of six (6) Pupil Accommodation Review Consultation processes are recommended in areas of significant enrolment decline. These processes would be undertaken in compliance with the Ministry of Education's Pupil Accommodation Review policies, as well as the Board's Generic School Valuation Framework and HCDSB Policy I-9. The recommended timing of the reviews is delineated by an "x" beside each area for consideration.

The bottom of Tables 2 and 3 indicates the change in OTG capacity if the proposed strategy were to be undertaken in the timing set out in the capital expenditure summary, along with the total number of portables that would be required jurisdiction-wide, given the number of available classroom spaces.

Tables 4 and 5 set out the Capital Expenditure Summary, expressed in terms of the cost to construct the individual projects. The timing of expenditures approximates 10% of the total project for design two years in advance of school opening, 80% of total costs for construction during the year prior to school opening, and the 10% construction holdback during the year of opening. Secondary construction costs are spread over an additional year given the size of the project.

The Board's Ability to Fund the Capital Expenditure Program 2009/10 to 2022/23:

Capital projects requiring the construction of new schools or additions attributed to enrolment growth may be funded from the Board's grant entitlement under Grants for New Pupil Places (NPP), provided that the Board is in a position to fund its existing capital debt and still generate sufficient NPP funds to construct additional pupil spaces (i.e., determined as the gap between enrolment and capacity on an annual basis). The Minister's permission is not required in order to proceed with the design and construction of

new pupil places where the project can be funded from NPP grants. If, however, the Board is not in a position to construct additional pupil spaces under the NPP grant, then it must submit a Business Case to the Ministry of Education requesting "Growth Schools" funding and approval to undertake the project. To date, the Ministry of Education has approved "Growth Schools" funding for Milton #4, St. Peter, Corpus Christi, St. Thomas Aquinas and St. Ignatius of Loyola.

On October 31, 2008, Priority Capital Project Business Cases were submitted on the Board's behalf requesting "Growth Schools" funding for:

1. Milton #7 elementary school;
2. Georgetown West elementary school;
3. West Oak Trails elementary school;
4. Iroquois Ridge #2 elementary school;
5. Milton new secondary school.

W&A and Board staff will follow-up with Ministry of Education staff in order to ensure that these projects are still under Priority Capital funding consideration.

In 2007, the Ministry of Education established a financial template that would enable school boards to determine their ability to fund capital-related debt pre-2006 and post-2006 under the existing capital funding parameters. This template, known as the "Liquidity Template," was updated by HCDSB Finance staff to determine the potential to fund the capital projects listed under Sections B and C of the Capital Expenditure Summary. The updated template suggests that the Board could afford to undertake all of the projects outlined in the Capital Expenditure Summary provided that actual enrolment approximates the projected enrolment in each year of the forecast period. However, it is noted that the Ministry's liquidity template does not take into consideration the number of additional pupil spaces funded under "Growth Schools" funding for St. Peter, Milton #4, St. Thomas Aquinas, St. Ignatius of Loyola and Corpus Christi in determining future Grants for New Pupil Places. Therefore the future revenue stream is overstated by the number of pupil spaces funded under "Growth Schools." Appendix A, attached, is an excerpt of Table 19 entitled "*Growth Schools Amount Eligible for Long-Term Financing*" and Table 23 entitled "*Schools for which Cost of Repair is Prohibitive 2*" extracted from *Ontario Regulation 85/08 -- Grants for Student Needs – Legislative Grants for the 2008-2009 School Board Fiscal Year*. These two tables set out the current amounts for which the HCDSB has been approved for funding under the Growth Schools and the Prohibitive-to-Repair initiatives.

It is recommended that Board staff meet with Ministry staff to clarify the Board's current liquidity position in advance of proceeding with any of the recommended capital projects.

TABLE 1
HCDSB Fiscal Impact Analysis - Long Term Capital Plan

Status Quo	Enrolment Change 2007/08 to 2022/23	(Surplus)/ Deficit Spaces 2022/23	Utilization Rate 2007/08	Utilization Rate 2022/23	Surplus/ (Shortage) in School Operations 2007/08	% of Shortage	% of Surplus	Surplus/ (Shortage) in School Operations 2022/23	% of Surplus/ (Shortage)
Area E1 (CEB1, B2, B3, B4 and B7) - Burlington	(426.0)	(739.0)	92.6%	82.5%	\$ (342,438)			\$ (511,933)	
Area E1 Excluding Alton holding - Burlington	(668.0)	(981.0)	92.6%	76.7%	\$ (342,438)	21.0%		\$ (582,886)	14.0%
Area E2 (CEB5 and B6) - Burlington	169.0	182.0	100.9%	112.6%	\$ (234,796)			\$ (235,763)	
Area E2 Including Alton holding - Burlington	411.0	424.0	100.9%	129.3%	\$ (234,796)	14.4%		\$ (182,675)	4.4%
Area E3 (CEO1, O3, O4 and west portion of O5) - Oakville	(130.0)	181.0	106.8%	104.0%	\$ (579,372)			\$ (1,236,088)	
Area E3 Excluding Iroquois Ridge and North of Dundas holding - Oakville	(1,409.0)	(1,098.0)	106.8%	75.9%	\$ (579,372)	35.5%		\$ (1,245,360)	30.0%
Area E4 (CEO2, O6 and east portion of O5) - Oakville	1,346.0	1,627.0	113.1%	175.7%	\$ (131,027)			\$ (250,600)	
Area E4 Including West Oak Trails, Iroquois Ridge and North of Dundas holding - Oakville	2,625.0	2,906.0	113.1%	235.2%	\$ (131,027)	8.0%		\$ (570,006)	13.7%
Area E5 (CEM1) - Milton	1,671.0	1,843.0	116.3%	274.5%	\$ (4,268)			\$ (394,062)	
Area E5 Excluding Milton Urban Expansion - Milton	(141.0)	31.0	116.3%	102.9%	\$ (4,268)	0.3%		\$ (80,963)	1.9%
Area E6 (CEM2A, 2B, 3A and 3B) - Milton	391.0	344.0	95.7%	131.1%	\$ (91,658)			\$ (19,959)	
Area E6 Including Milton Urban Expansion - Milton	2,203.0	2,156.0	95.7%	295.3%	\$ (91,658)	5.6%		\$ (453,310)	10.9%
Area E7 (CEH1) - Halton Hills	331.0	958.0	130.2%	146.1%	\$ 116,334		11.6%	\$ (154,000)	3.7%
Area S1 (pt CS01) - North Burlington	266.0	(335.0)	148.8%	85.5%	\$ 327,365		32.5%	\$ (240,755)	5.8%
Area S2 (pt CS02) - South Burlington	(375.0)	(209.0)	117.4%	78.2%	\$ 182,347		18.1%	\$ (6,683)	0.2%
Area S3 (pt CS02 and pt CS03) - Central Oakville	(236.0)	316.0	124.2%	113.8%	\$ 205,997		20.5%	\$ (48,949)	1.2%
Area S4 (pt CS02 and pt CS03) - North and South Oakville	466.0	336.0	86.2%	135.5%	\$ (136,502)	8.4%		\$ -	0.0%
Area S5 (CS04) - Milton	1,766.0	1,929.0	116.4%	294.8%	\$ (111,672)	6.8%		\$ (590,940)	14.2%
Area E6 (CS05) - Halton Hills	329.0	542.0	116.0%	140.8%	\$ 174,976		17.4%	\$ -	0.0%
Total Jurisdiction	5,568.0	6,974.0	110.6%	127.4%	\$ (624,714)	100.0%	100.0%	\$ (4,156,527)	100.0%
						-3.0%			-16.4%

Surplus/ (Shortage) in School Administration 2007/08	% of Surplus/ (Shortage)	Surplus/ (Shortage) in School Administration 2022/23	% of Surplus/ (Shortage)
\$ 244,502		\$ 20,978	
\$ 244,502	16.0%	\$ (35,712)	5.3%
\$ 66,572		\$ (42,049)	
\$ 66,572	4.3%	\$ (42,049)	6.3%
\$ 242,202		\$ (149,027)	
\$ 242,202	15.8%	\$ (239,180)	35.8%
\$ 41,857		\$ -	
\$ 41,857	2.7%	\$ -	0.0%
\$ 14,687		\$ (10,156)	
\$ 14,687	1.0%	\$ (55,002)	8.2%
\$ 51,005		\$ -	
\$ 51,005	3.3%	\$ -	0.0%
\$ 59,813		\$ (31,358)	
\$ 59,218	3.9%	\$ (200,766)	30.0%
\$ 109,161		\$ (64,592)	
\$ 109,161	7.1%	\$ (64,592)	9.7%
\$ 299,421		\$ -	
\$ 44,009	19.6%	\$ -	0.0%
\$ 44,009	2.9%	\$ -	0.0%
\$ 97,554		\$ -	
\$ 97,554	6.4%	\$ -	0.0%
\$ 200,814		\$ -	
\$ 200,814	13.1%	\$ -	0.0%
\$ 1,530,815	100.0%	\$ (668,660)	100.0%
10.5%		-4.1%	

Facility Renewal Condition Index (FCI) 2007/08	Facility Renewal Condition Index (FCI) 2018/19
9.5%	38.5%
9.5%	38.5%
0.1%	5.1%
10.0%	5.1%
13.5%	43.9%
13.5%	43.9%
2.6%	9.8%
2.6%	9.8%
14.1%	34.3%
14.1%	34.3%
0.0%	0.0%
0.0%	0.0%
6.8%	32.6%
1.3%	10.7%
3.2%	15.9%
5.0%	20.8%
25.1%	58.6%
10.0%	36.1%
0.0%	0.0%
7.0%	25.1%
\$ 30,606,027	\$ 109,663,753

Proposed Accommodation Strategy:	Enrolment Change 2007/08 to 2022/23	(Surplus)/ Deficit Spaces 2022/23	Utilization Rate 2007/08	Utilization Rate 2022/23	Surplus/ (Shortage) in School Operations 2007/08	% of Shortage	% of Surplus	Surplus/ (Shortage) in School Operations 2022/23	% of Shortage	% of Surplus
Area E1 Excluding Alton holding - Burlington	(668.0)	(80.0)	92.6%	97.6%	\$ (342,438)	21.0%		\$ (326,473)	28.7%	
Area E2 Including Alton holding - Burlington	411.0	240.0	100.9%	114.7%	\$ (234,796)	14.4%		\$ 60,015		3.0%
Area E3 Excluding Iroquois Ridge and North of Dundas holding - Oakville	(1,409.0)	201.0	106.8%	106.3%	\$ (579,372)	35.5%		\$ (454,311)	39.9%	
Area E4 Including West Oak Trails, Iroquois Ridge and North of Dundas holding - Oakville	2,625.0	(18.0)	113.1%	99.7%	\$ (131,027)	8.0%		\$ (259,018)	22.7%	
Area E5 Excluding Milton Urban Expansion - Milton	(141.0)	(81.0)	116.3%	93.7%	\$ (4,268)	0.3%		\$ (80,892)	7.1%	
Area E6 Including Milton Urban Expansion - Milton	2,203.0	373.0	95.7%	113.4%	\$ (91,658)	5.6%		\$ 95,834		4.7%
Area E7 (CEH1) - Halton Hills	331.0	530.0	130.2%	121.2%	\$ 116,334		11.6%	\$ 275,440		13.6%
Area S1 (pt CS01) - North Burlington	266.0	(335.0)	148.8%	85.5%	\$ 327,365		32.5%	\$ 837,188		41.4%
Area S2 (pt CS02) - South Burlington	(375.0)	(209.0)	117.4%	78.2%	\$ 182,347		18.1%	\$ (6,683)		0.6%
Area S3 (pt CS02 and pt CS03) - Central Oakville	(236.0)	106.0	124.2%	104.3%	\$ 205,997		20.5%	\$ (12,008)		1.1%
Area S4 (pt CS02 and pt CS03) - North and South Oakville	466.0	36.0	86.2%	102.9%	\$ (136,502)	8.4%		\$ 33,033		1.6%
Area S5 (CS04) - Milton	1,766.0	579.0	116.4%	124.7%	\$ (111,672)	6.8%		\$ 253,998		12.6%
Area S6 (CS05) - Halton Hills	329.0	542.0	116.0%	140.8%	\$ 174,976		17.4%	\$ 467,149		23.1%
Total Jurisdiction	5,568.0	1,884.0	110.6%	106.2%	\$ (624,714)	-3.0%	100.0%	\$ 883,271	3.6%	100.0%

Surplus/ (Shortage) in School Administration 2007/08	% of Surplus/ (Shortage)	Surplus/ (Shortage) in School Administration 2022/23	% of Shortage	% of Surplus	Facility Renewal Condition Index (FCI) 2007/08	Facility Renewal Condition Index (FCI) 2018/19
\$ 244,502		\$ 287,854		17.2%	0.0%	25.6%
\$ 244,502	20.4%	\$ 287,854	40.7%		0.0%	9.8%
\$ 66,572		\$ 58,300		3.5%	0.0%	4.5%
\$ 66,572	5.6%	\$ 58,300			0.0%	22.7%
\$ 242,202		\$ (77,606)			0.0%	8.4%
\$ 242,202	20.2%	\$ (77,606)	25.5%		0.0%	0.0%
\$ 41,857		\$ 227,209		13.5%	0.0%	0.0%
\$ 41,857	3.5%	\$ 227,209			0.0%	19.4%
\$ 14,687		\$ 103,184		6.2%	0.0%	10.7%
\$ 14,687	1.2%	\$ 103,184			0.0%	15.9%
\$ 14,687	1.2%	\$ (64,592)		33.8%	0.0%	15.5%
\$ 51,005		\$ 103,769		6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
\$ 51,005	4.3%	\$ 103,769			0.0%	0.0%
\$ 59,813		\$ 193,241		11.5%	0.0%	30.0%
\$ 59,218		\$ 245,049		14.6%	0.0%	0.0%
\$ 109,161		\$ 1,486,404			0.0%	13.9%
\$ 109,161	7.1%	\$ 1,486,404	8.6%	100.0%	\$ -	\$ 57,796,813
10.5%	100.0%	8.6%	100.0%	100.0%	\$ -	\$ 57,796,813

TABLE 2
HALTON CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD
 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY 2009/10 to 2022/23 - ELEMENTARY
 (NUMBER OF PUPIL PLACES TO BE ADDED)

Capital Expenditure Summary	Review Area	2008 /2009	Year 1 2009 /2010	Year 2 2010 /2011	Year 3 2011 /2012	Year 4 2012 /2013	Year 5 2013 /2014	Year 6 2014 /2015	Year 7 2015 /2016	Year 8 2016 /2017	Year 9 2017 /2018	Year 10 2018 /2019	Year 11 2019 /2020	Year 12 2020 /2021	Year 13 2021 /2022	Year 14 2022 /2023	Totals
ELEMENTARY PANEL																	
A. PROJECTED FACILITY UTILIZATION (assumes FD 45 commencing in 2010/11):																	
Existing OTG Capacity (assumes St. Peter & Milton #4 opening in 2009/10):		16,612	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445
Projected Enrolment (ADE):		17,858	18,197	18,522	18,789	18,987	19,154	19,395	19,376	19,442	19,343	19,162	19,108	19,012	19,049	19,135	
Assumed Implementation of FD 45 :		-	-	1,698	1,684	1,633	1,631	1,630	1,649	1,680	1,697	1,713	1,739	1,783	1,840	1,873	
Projected Enrolment (ADE) including FD 45 commencing in 2010/11:		17,858	18,197	20,220	20,473	20,620	20,785	21,025	21,025	21,122	21,040	20,875	20,847	20,795	20,889	21,008	
Surplus/(Deficit) Permanent Pupil Spaces:		(1,246)	(752)	(2,775)	(3,028)	(3,175)	(3,340)	(3,580)	(3,580)	(3,677)	(3,595)	(3,430)	(3,402)	(3,350)	(3,444)	(3,563)	
B. CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR WHICH CAPITAL FUNDING IS AVAILABLE AND FOR WHICH PROJECT TIMING IS NOT DEPENDENT UPON IMPLEMENTATION OF FD 45:																	
1 Construct 658 pp Georgetown Catholic ES ¹	CEH1				658.0												658.0
2 Construct 612 pp West Oak Trails #5 (Richview site)	CEO2			612.0													612.0
3 Construct 612 pp North of Dundas #1 Catholic ES ²	CEO6					612.0											612.0
4 Construct 612 pp North of Dundas #2 Catholic ES ²	CEO6									612.0							612.0
5 Construct 612 pp North of Dundas #3 Catholic ES ²	CEO6															612.0	612.0
6 Construct 612 pp Milton #6 Catholic ES ²	CEM2B					612.0											612.0
7 Construct 450 pp Milton #7 Catholic ES ²	CEM2B									450.0							450.0
Sub Total - OTG Spaces Proposed to be Added to Inventory		-	-	612.0	658.0	1,224.0	-	-	-	1,062.0	-	-	-	-	-	612.0	4,168.0
C. CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR WHICH CAPITAL FUNDING IS AVAILABLE AND FOR WHICH PROJECT TIMING IS DEPENDENT UPON IMPLEMENTATION OF FD 45:																	
8 Construct 526 pp Iroquois Ridge #2 ¹	CE05			526.0													526.0
9 Construct 4 classroom addition @ St. Elizabeth Seton	CEB5				92.0												92.0
10 Construct 6 classroom addition @ St. Catherine of Alexandria ¹	CEH1					138.0											138.0
11 Construct 4 classroom addition @ St. Brigid ¹	CEH1						92.0										92.0
Sub Total - OTG Spaces Proposed to be Added to Inventory		-	-	526.0	92.0	138.0	92.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	848.0
D. UNFUNDED FACILITY REPLACEMENT COSTS:																	
12 Construct 400 pp replacement facility north central Oakville ¹	CE04/05									400.0							400.0
Sub Total - OTG Spaces Proposed to be Added to Inventory		-	-	-	-	-	-	400.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	400.0
E. OTG CAPACITY ADJUSTMENTS REQUIRING PUPIL ACCOMMODATION REVIEW PROCESSES:																	
13 South Burlington	CEB2			X				(350.0)									(350.0)
14 Northwest Burlington	CEB4						X			(550.0)							(550.0)
15 South Oakville ³	CEO1			X				(550.0)									(550.0)
16 North central Oakville (west of Trafalgar Rd.)	CE02/03						X			(350.0)							(350.0)
17 North central Oakville (east of Trafalgar Rd.)	CE04/05				X				(850.0)								(850.0)
18 Georgetown	CEH1	X			(450.0)												(450.0)
Sub Total - OTG Spaces Proposed to be Removed from Inventory		-	-	-	(450.0)	-	(900.0)	(850.0)	-	(900.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3,100.0)
Net OTG		16,612.0	17,445.0	18,583.0	18,883.0	20,245.0	19,437.0	18,987.0	18,987.0	19,149.0	19,149.0	19,149.0	19,149.0	19,149.0	19,149.0	19,761.0	
Surplus/(Deficit) Pupil Spaces:		(1,246)	(752)	(1,637)	(1,590)	(375)	(1,348)	(2,038)	(2,038)	(1,973)	(1,891)	(1,726)	(1,698)	(1,646)	(1,740)	(1,247)	
Number of Portables Required (@ 23 pp per classroom on average)		93	30	70	70	20	60	90	90	90	80	80	70	70	80	50	

Notes: Short term Accommodation Needs (2009/10 through 2011/12)
 Long term Accommodation Needs (2012/13 through 2022/23)
 X Indicates proposed timing of formation of Accommodation Review process consistent with Board policy I-9

1. Size of facility should be reviewed in context of proposed Pupil Accommodation Review process
2. Capital expenditure timing is dependant upon timing of development
3. Number of pupil places to be consolidated needs to be reviewed in context of potential new HDSB elementary school in Clearview

TABLE 3
HALTON CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD
 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY 2009/10 to 2022/23 - SECONDARY
 (NUMBER OF PUPIL PLACES TO BE ADDED)

Capital Expenditure Summary	Review Area	2008 /2009	Year 1 2009 /2010	Year 2 2010 /2011	Year 3 2011 /2012	Year 4 2012 /2013	Year 5 2013 /2014	Year 6 2014 /2015	Year 7 2015 /2016	Year 8 2016 /2017	Year 9 2017 /2018	Year 10 2018 /2019	Year 11 2019 /2020	Year 12 2020 /2021	Year 13 2021 /2022	Year 14 2022 /2023	Totals
SECONDARY PANEL																	
A. PROJECTED FACILITY UTILIZATION¹:																	
Existing OTG Capacity (includes St. Ignatius addition & replacement STA):		8,811	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321
Projected Enrolment (ADE):		9,760	10,098	10,386	10,709	10,864	10,970	10,883	11,128	11,139	11,356	11,850	11,823	12,014	11,847	11,529	
Surplus/(Deficit) Permanent Pupil Spaces:		(949)	(777)	(1,065)	(1,388)	(1,543)	(1,649)	(1,562)	(1,807)	(1,818)	(2,035)	(2,529)	(2,502)	(2,693)	(2,526)	(2,208)	
B. CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR WHICH CAPITAL FUNDING IS AVAILABLE																	
1 Construct 1,350 pp Milton Phase 3 Catholic SS					1,350.0												1,350.0
Sub Total - OTG Spaces Proposed to be Added to Inventory		-	-	-	1,350.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,350.0
Net OTG		8,811.0	9,321.0	9,321.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	10,671.0	
Surplus/(Deficit) Permanent Pupil Spaces:		(949.0)	(777.0)	(1,065.0)	(38.0)	(193.0)	(299.0)	(212.0)	(457.0)	(468.0)	(685.0)	(1,179.0)	(1,152.0)	(1,343.0)	(1,176.0)	(858.0)	
Number of Portables Required (@ 21 per classroom on average)		85	40	50	-	10	10	10	20	20	30	60	50	60	60	40	

Notes:

Short term Accommodation Needs (2009/10 through 2011/12)

Long term Accommodation Needs (2012/13 through 2022/23)

¹ It is noted that the projected enrolment suggests more than 540 surplus pupil spaces in CS01 (Burlington) unless there are program enhancements to increase HCDSB apportionment share

TABLE 4
HALTON CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD
 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY 2009/10 to 2022/23 - ELEMENTARY

Capital Expenditure Summary	Review Area	2008 /2009	Year 1 2009 /2010	Year 2 2010 /2011	Year 3 2011 /2012	Year 4 2012 /2013	Year 5 2013 /2014	Year 6 2014 /2015	Year 7 2015 /2016	Year 8 2016 /2017	Year 9 2017 /2018	Year 10 2018 /2019	Year 11 2019 /2020	Year 12 2020 /2021	Year 13 2021 /2022	Year 14 2022 /2023	Totals
ELEMENTARY PANEL																	
A. PROJECTED FACILITY UTILIZATION (assumes FD 45 commencing in 2010/11):																	
Existing OTG Capacity (assumes St. Peter & Milton #4 opening in 2009/10):		16,612	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	17,445	
Projected Enrolment (ADE):		17,858	18,197	18,522	18,789	18,987	19,154	19,395	19,376	19,442	19,343	19,162	19,108	19,012	19,049	19,135	
Assumed Implementation of FD 45 :		-	-	1,698	1,684	1,633	1,631	1,630	1,649	1,680	1,697	1,713	1,739	1,783	1,840	1,873	
Projected Enrolment (ADE) including FD 45 commencing in 2010/11:		17,858	18,197	20,220	20,473	20,620	20,785	21,025	21,025	21,122	21,040	20,875	20,847	20,795	20,889	21,008	
Surplus/(Deficit) Permanent Pupil Spaces:		(1,246)	(752)	(2,775)	(3,028)	(3,175)	(3,340)	(3,580)	(3,580)	(3,677)	(3,595)	(3,430)	(3,402)	(3,350)	(3,444)	(3,563)	
B. CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR WHICH CAPITAL FUNDING IS AVAILABLE AND FOR WHICH PROJECT TIMING IS NOT DEPENDENT UPON IMPLEMENTATION OF FD 45:																	
1 Construct 658 pp Georgetown Catholic ES ¹	CEH1		\$ 1,190,000	\$ 9,520,000	\$ 1,190,000												\$ 11,900,000
2 Construct 612 pp West Oak Trails #5 (Richview site)	CEO2	\$ 1,130,000	\$ 9,040,000	\$ 1,130,000													\$ 11,300,000
3 Construct 612 pp North of Dundas #1 Catholic ES ²	CEO6			\$ 1,130,000	\$ 9,040,000	\$ 1,130,000											\$ 11,300,000
4 Construct 612 pp North of Dundas #2 Catholic ES ²	CEO6						\$ 1,130,000	\$ 9,040,000	\$ 1,130,000								\$ 11,300,000
5 Construct 612 pp North of Dundas #3 Catholic ES ²	CEO6												\$ 1,130,000	\$ 9,040,000	\$ 1,130,000		\$ 11,300,000
6 Construct 612 pp Milton #6 Catholic ES ²	CEM2B			\$ 1,130,000	\$ 9,040,000	\$ 1,130,000											\$ 11,300,000
7 Construct 450 pp Milton #7 Catholic ES ²	CEM2B						\$ 840,000	\$ 6,720,000	\$ 840,000								\$ 8,400,000
Sub-Total Capital Expenditure Requirements		\$ 1,130,000	\$ 10,230,000	\$ 12,910,000	\$ 19,270,000	\$ 2,260,000	\$ -	\$ 1,970,000	\$ 15,760,000	\$ 1,970,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,130,000	\$ 9,040,000	\$ 1,130,000.0	\$ 76,800,000
C. CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR WHICH CAPITAL FUNDING IS AVAILABLE AND FOR WHICH PROJECT TIMING IS DEPENDENT UPON IMPLEMENTATION OF FD 45:																	
8 Construct 526 pp Iroquois Ridge #2 ¹	CE05	\$ 980,000	\$ 7,840,000	\$ 980,000													\$ 9,800,000
9 Construct 4 classroom addition @ St. Elizabeth Seton	CEB5			\$ 1,570,000													\$ 1,570,000
10 Construct 6 classroom addition @ St. Catherine of Alexandria ¹	CEH1				\$ 2,400,000												\$ 2,400,000
11 Construct 4 classroom addition @ St. Brigid ¹	CEH1					\$ 1,570,000											\$ 1,570,000
Sub-Total Capital Expenditure Requirements		\$ 980,000	\$ 7,840,000	\$ 2,550,000	\$ 2,400,000	\$ -	\$ 1,570,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,340,000
D. UNFUNDED FACILITY REPLACEMENT COSTS:																	
12 Construct 400 pp replacement facility north central Oakville ¹	CE04/05					\$ 740,000	\$ 5,920,000	\$ 740,000									\$ 7,400,000
Sub-Total Capital Expenditure Requirements		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 740,000	\$ 5,920,000	\$ 740,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,400,000
Total Capital Expenditure Requirements		\$ 2,110,000	\$ 18,070,000	\$ 15,460,000	\$ 21,670,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 7,490,000	\$ 2,710,000	\$ 15,760,000	\$ 1,970,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,130,000	\$ 9,040,000	\$ 1,130,000	\$ 99,540,000

Notes: Short term Accommodation Needs (2009/10 through 2011/12)
 Long term Accommodation Needs (2012/13 through 2022/23)

1. Size of facility should be reviewed in context of proposed Pupil Accommodation Review process
2. Capital expenditure timing is dependant upon timing of development
3. Number of pupil places to be consolidated needs to be reviewed in context of potential new HDSB elementary school in Clearview

TABLE 5
HALTON CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD
 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY 2009/10 to 2022/23 - SECONDARY

Capital Expenditure Summary	Review Area	2008 /2009	Year 1 2009 /2010	Year 2 2010 /2011	Year 3 2011 /2012	Year 4 2012 /2013	Year 5 2013 /2014	Year 6 2014 /2015	Year 7 2015 /2016	Year 8 2016 /2017	Year 9 2017 /2018	Year 10 2018 /2019	Year 11 2019 /2020	Year 12 2020 /2021	Year 13 2021 /2022	Year 14 2022 /2023	Totals
SECONDARY PANEL																	
A. PROJECTED FACILITY UTILIZATION:																	
Existing OTG Capacity (includes St. Ignatius addition & replacement STA):		8,811	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321	9,321
Projected Enrolment (ADE):		9,760	10,098	10,386	10,709	10,864	10,970	10,883	11,128	11,139	11,356	11,850	11,823	12,014	11,847	11,529	
Surplus/(Deficit) Permanent Pupil Spaces:		(949)	(777)	(1,065)	(1,388)	(1,543)	(1,649)	(1,562)	(1,807)	(1,818)	(2,035)	(2,529)	(2,502)	(2,693)	(2,526)	(2,208)	
B. CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR WHICH CAPITAL FUNDING IS AVAILABLE																	
1 Construct 1,350 pp Milton Phase 3 Catholic SS		\$ 3,500,000	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 3,500,000												\$ 35,000,000
Total Capital Expenditure Requirements		\$ 3,500,000	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 3,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,000,000

Notes: Short term Accommodation Needs (2009/10 through 2011/12)
 Long term Accommodation Needs (2012/13 through 2022/23)

Excerpt from Ontario Regulation 85/08**Education Act****ONTARIO REGULATION 85/08****GRANTS FOR STUDENT NEEDS — LEGISLATIVE GRANTS FOR THE 2008-2009 SCHOOL BOARD FISCAL YEAR**

Consolidation Period: From January 28, 2009 to the [e-Laws currency date](#).

Last amendment: O. Reg. 36/09.

This is the English version of a bilingual regulation.

TABLE/TABLEAU 19

GROWTH SCHOOLS AMOUNT ELIGIBLE FOR LONG-TERM FINANCING/SOMME LIÉE AUX ÉCOLES DES QUARTIERS À FORTE CROISSANCE QUI EST ADMISSIBLE AU FINANCEMENT À LONG TERME

Item/Point	Column/Colonne 1 Name of Board/Nom du conseil	Column/Colonne 2 Amount/Montant \$
1.	Algoma District School Board	0
2.	Algonquin and Lakeshore Catholic District School Board	0
3.	Avon Maitland District School Board	0
4.	Bluewater District School Board	0
5.	Brant Haldimand Norfolk Catholic District School Board	0
6.	Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board	0
7.	Catholic District School Board of Eastern Ontario	0
8.	Conseil des écoles publiques de l'Est de l'Ontario	4,962,740
9.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique Centre-Sud	13,693,040
10.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique de l'Est ontarien	0
11.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique des Aurores boréales	0
12.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique des Grandes Rivières	0
13.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique du Centre-Est de l'Ontario	7,179,430
14.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique du Nouvel-Ontario	0
15.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique Franco-Nord	0
16.	Conseil scolaire de district des écoles catholiques du Sud-Ouest	0
17.	Conseil scolaire de district du Centre Sud-Ouest	0
18.	Conseil scolaire de district du Grand Nord de l'Ontario	0
19.	Conseil scolaire de district du Nord-Est de l'Ontario	0
20.	District School Board of Niagara	0
21.	District School Board Ontario North East	0
22.	Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board	0
23.	Durham Catholic District School Board	0
24.	Durham District School Board	0
25.	Grand Erie District School Board	0
26.	Greater Essex County District School Board	32,596,069
27.	Halton Catholic District School Board	52,233,916
28.	Halton District School Board	41,293,198
29.	Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic District School Board	0
30.	Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	27,783,175
31.	Hastings and Prince Edward District School Board	0
32.	Huron Perth Catholic District School Board	0
33.	Huron-Superior Catholic District School Board	0
34.	Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board	0
35.	Keewatin-Patricia District School Board	0
36.	Kenora Catholic District School Board	0
37.	Lakehead District School Board	0
38.	Lambton Kent District School Board	0
39.	Limestone District School Board	0
40.	London District Catholic School Board	0
41.	Near North District School Board	0
42.	Niagara Catholic District School Board	0

Excerpt from Ontario Regulation 85/08

43.	Nipissing-Parry Sound Catholic District School Board	0
44.	Northeastern Catholic District School Board	0
45.	Northwest Catholic District School Board	0
46.	Ottawa-Carleton District School Board	0
47.	Ottawa Catholic District School Board	28,132,917
48.	Peel District School Board	0
49.	Peterborough Victoria Northumberland and Clarington Catholic District School Board	13,616,641
50.	Rainbow District School Board	0
51.	Rainy River District School Board	0
52.	Renfrew County Catholic District School Board	0
53.	Renfrew County District School Board	0
54.	Simcoe County District School Board	26,291,955
55.	Simcoe Muskoka Catholic District School Board	0
56.	St. Clair Catholic District School Board	0
57.	Sudbury Catholic District School Board	0
58.	Superior-Greenstone District School Board	0
59.	Superior North Catholic District School Board	0
60.	Thames Valley District School Board	0
61.	Thunder Bay Catholic District School Board	0
62.	Toronto Catholic District School Board	13,871,548
63.	Toronto District School Board	0
64.	Trillium Lakelands District School Board	0
65.	Upper Canada District School Board	0
66.	Upper Grand District School Board	0
67.	Waterloo Catholic District School Board	0
68.	Waterloo Region District School Board	0
69.	Wellington Catholic District School Board	10,028,871
70.	Windsor-Essex Catholic District School Board	0
71.	York Catholic District School Board	34,394,544
72.	York Region District School Board	0

O. Reg. 36/09, s. 5.

TABLE/TABLEAU 23

SCHOOLS FOR WHICH COST OF REPAIR IS PROHIBITIVE 2/ÉCOLES DONT LE COÛT DES RÉPARATIONS EST PROHIBITIF (N^o 2)

Item/ Point	Column/Colonne 1	Column/ Colonne 2	Column/Colonne 3	Column/Colonne 4	Column/Colonne 5	Column/Colonne 6
	Name of Board/Nom du conseil	SFIS No./N ^o du SIIS	Elementary School/École élémentaire	Secondary School/École secondaire	Municipality or Locality/ Municipalité ou localité	Maximum Capital Amount Eligible for Long-term Financing/Plafond des immobilisations donnant droit au financement à long terme \$
1.	Algoma District School Board	5223		Bawating C & VS	Sault Ste. Marie	62,521,886
2.	Algoma District School Board	5645		Sir James Dunn C & VS	Sault Ste. Marie	
3.	Algoma District School Board	9573	Bawating C & V.S.		Sault Ste. Marie	
4.	Algoma District School Board	10898	Bawating Intermediate		Sault Ste. Marie	
5.	Algoma District School Board	848	Francis H Clergue Public School		Sault Ste. Marie	
6.	Algoma District School Board	2035	Rosedale Public School		Sault Ste. Marie	
7.	Algoma District School Board	7599		Hornepayne High School	Hornepayne	
8.	Algoma District School Board	7598		Hornepayne High School Annex	Hornepayne	

Excerpt from Ontario Regulation 85/08

9.	Algonquin and Lakeshore Catholic District School Board	9229	Sacred Heart Catholic School Marmora		Marmora and Lake	10,706,423
10.	Algonquin and Lakeshore Catholic District School Board	9222	St. Michael CES		Belleville	
11.	Avon Maitland District School Board	1661	Arthur Meighen PS		St. Marys	9,305,139
12.	Avon Maitland District School Board	396	St. Mary's Central PS		St. Marys	
13.	Avon Maitland District School Board	2374	Victoria PS		Goderich	
14.	Bluewater District School Board	1177	James A. Magee		Hanover	6,847,763
15.	Brant Haldimand Norfolk Catholic District School Board	3513	Our Lady of Fatima Sep School		Brantford	7,025,035
16.	Brant Haldimand Norfolk Catholic District School Board	4026	St. Jean de Brebeuf Sep S		Brantford	
17.	Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board	3786		St. Mary's High School	Owen Sound	3,953,664
18.	Catholic District School Board of Eastern Ontario	4138	St. Joseph SS / Prescott		Prescott	12,828,002
19.	Catholic District School Board of Eastern Ontario	4222	St. Mark		Prescott	
20.	Catholic District School Board of Eastern Ontario	3368	St. Joseph Catholic School / Toledo		Elizabethtown - Kitley	
21.	Catholic District School Board of Eastern Ontario	9292	Mother Teresa Annex		Russell	
22.	Conseil des écoles publiques de l'Est de l'Ontario	1628	Ecole Madeleine-de-Roybon		Kingston	3,705,513
23.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique de l'Est ontarien	6204	Ecole St-Gregoire		Champlain	4,902,412
24.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique de l'Est ontarien	3627	Saint-Jean, E. Sep		Russell	
25.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique du Centre-Est de l'Ontario	3430	École élémentaire Montfort		Ottawa	12,871,700
26.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique Centre-Sud	4420	École élémentaire Saint-Noël-Chabanel		Toronto	7,938,288
27.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique Centre-Sud	3632	École élémentaire Sacré-Cœur		Toronto	
28.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique Franco-Nord	3628	Echo-jeunesse, E. Sep		West Nipissing	18,383,790
29.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique Franco-Nord	3663	St. Joseph		West Nipissing	
30.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique Franco-Nord	4547	Ecole Saint-Anne		North Bay	
31.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique Franco-Nord	4387	Ecole Saint Paul		North Bay	
32.	Conseil scolaire de district des écoles catholiques du Sud-Ouest	4066	École St-jean-Baptiste		Amherstburg	7,466,201
33.	Conseil scolaire de district du Centre Sud-Ouest	154	Maison Montessori		Toronto	2,600,614
34.	Conseil scolaire de district du Grand Nord de l'Ontario	9946	École publique Camille-Perron (leased)		Markstay-Warren	3,009,695
35.	Conseil scolaire de district catholique du Nouvel-Ontario	3892	St-Denis		Greater Sudbury	8,263,823
36.	District School Board of Niagara	489	Colonel John Butler PS		Niagara-On-The-Lake	10,388,809
37.	District School Board of Niagara	2391	Virgil PS		Niagara-On-The-Lake	
38.	District School Board of Niagara	1923	Queen Mary Public School		St. Catharines	
39.	Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board	3384	Lester B. Pearson S		Brampton	12,975,498

Excerpt from Ontario Regulation 85/08

40.	Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board	3752	St. Anthony SS		Brampton	
41.	Durham Catholic District School Board	8775	St. Bernadette CS		Ajax	10,530,315
42.	Grand Erie District School Board	5304		Delhi District SS	Norfolk County	27,210,124
43.	Grand Erie District School Board	5243		Brantford Collegiate Institute & Vocational School	Brantford	
44.	Greater Essex County District School Board	7803		Leamington District SS	Leamington	34,978,353
45.	Greater Essex County District School Board	5358		Essex District HS	Essex	
46.	Greater Essex County District School Board	1163	J E Benson Public School		Windsor	
47.	Greater Essex County District School Board	1200	John Campbell Public School		Windsor	
48.	Halton Catholic District School Board	8104		St. Thomas Aquinas Catholic Secondary School	Oakville	15,283,985
49.	Halton District School Board	1314	Lakeshore PS		Burlington	3,753,072
50.	Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic District School Board	4496	St. Thomas CES		Hamilton	4,878,306
51.	Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	8026	Lawfield		Hamilton	25,447,568
52.	Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	8089	Vern Ames		Hamilton	
53.	Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	8085	Stinson Street		Hamilton	
54.	Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	8064	Queen Victoria		Hamilton	
55.	Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	8075	Sanford Avenue		Hamilton	
56.	Hastings and Prince Edward District School Board	2157	Sir Mackenzie Bowell Senior Public School		Belleville	
57.	Huron-Superior Catholic District School Board	6326		Mount St. Joseph College	Sault Ste. Marie	1,626,562
58.	Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board	86	Apsley PS		North Kawartha	16,630,768
59.	Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board	405	Central PS		Port Hope	
60.	Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board	1112	Howard Jordan PS		Port Hope	
61.	Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board	2584	Youngs Point PS		Smith-Ennismore-Lakefield	
62.	Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board	525	Castleton PS		Cramahe	
63.	Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board	526	South Cramahe PS		Cramahe	
64.	Keewatin-Patricia District School Board	1740	Oxdrift PS		Dryden Locality Education (assessment roll numbers beginning with "6096")	14,329,856
65.	Keewatin-Patricia District School Board	2409	Wabigoon PS		Dryden Locality Education (assessment roll numbers beginning with "6096")	
66.	Keewatin-Patricia District School Board	1819	Pinewood PS		Dryden	
67.	Keewatin-Patricia District School Board	2000	Riverview PS		Dryden	
68.	Kenora Catholic District School Board	10543	St. Thomas Aquinas Annex		Kenora	3,305,392

Excerpt from Ontario Regulation 85/08

69.	Lakehead District School Board	7559		Hillcrest High School	Thunder Bay	31,451,977
70.	Lakehead District School Board	7594		Port Arthur Collegiate Institute	Thunder Bay	
71.	Lambton Kent District School Board	581	Devine Street School		Sarnia	8,798,532
72.	Lambton Kent District School Board	1221	Johnston Memorial School		Sarnia	
73.	Limestone District School Board	9674	Sandhurst PS		Greater Napanee	25,381,315
74.	Limestone District School Board	2165	Westdale Park PS		Greater Napanee	
75.	Limestone District School Board	992	HH Langford PS		Greater Napanee	
76.	Limestone District School Board	1822	J E Horton Public School		Kingston	
77.	Limestone District School Board	1401	Lundy's Lane Public School		Kingston	
78.	London District Catholic School Board	3537	Our Lady of Lourdes Catholic Elementary School		Middlesex Centre	8,253,686
79.	Near North District School Board	5668		Almaguin Highland SS	South River	19,999,704
80.	Niagara Catholic District School Board	7973	St. Joseph		Grimsby	10,996,307
81.	Niagara Catholic District School Board	7980	Our Lady of Fatima		Grimsby	
82.	Nipissing-Parry Sound Catholic District School Board	5985	St. Theresa Catholic School		East Ferris	12,794,120
83.	Nipissing-Parry Sound Catholic District School Board	3652	Sacred Heart Separate School		North Bay	
84.	Nipissing-Parry Sound Catholic District School Board	4114	St. Joseph Separate School		North Bay	
85.	Nipissing-Parry Sound Catholic District School Board	3999	St. Hubert Separate School		North Bay	
86.	Ottawa-Carleton District School Board	819	Fitzroy Centennial Public School		Ottawa	9,329,952
87.	Ottawa-Carleton District School Board	10140	Fitzroy Harbour Public School		Ottawa	
88.	Ottawa-Carleton District School Board	1655	Kars Public School		Ottawa	
89.	Peel District School Board	1749	Palgrave PS		Caledon	
90.	Peel District School Board	338	Castlemore PS		Brampton	
91.	Peterborough Victoria Northumberland and Clarington Catholic District School Board	9267	St. Mary's School		Port Hope	2,735,711
92.	Rainbow District School Board	996	Markstay Public School		Markstay-Warren	20,864,959
93.	Rainbow District School Board	9623	Warren Public School		Markstay-Warren	
94.	Rainbow District School Board	207	Val Caron Public School		Greater Sudbury	
95.	Rainbow District School Board	2360	Valleyview Public School		Greater Sudbury	
96.	Rainbow District School Board	1196	Jesse Hamilton Public School		Greater Sudbury	
97.	Rainbow District School Board	5983	Georges Vanier Public School		Greater Sudbury	
98.	Rainy River District School Board	9378	F H Huffman PS		Fort Frances	11,497,015
99.	Rainy River District School Board	9384	Robert Moore PS		Fort Frances	
100.	Renfrew County Catholic District School Board	3622	St. Francis of Assisi Catholic School		Petawawa	4,135,617
101.	Renfrew County District School Board	1812	Pinecrest Public School		Petawawa	21,191,514
102.	Renfrew County District School Board	877	General Lake School		Petawawa	

Excerpt from Ontario Regulation 85/08

103.	Renfrew County District School Board	5380		General Panet High School	Petawawa		
104.	Simcoe County District School Board	8151	King Edward PS		Barrie	40,230,643	
105.	Simcoe County District School Board	8157	Mount Slaven PS		Orillia		
106.	Simcoe County District School Board	8165	Parkview PS		Midland		
107.	Simcoe County District School Board	8168	Prince of Wales PS		Barrie		
108.	Simcoe County District School Board	8171	Regent PS		Midland		
109.	Simcoe County District School Board	8207	David H. Church PS		Orillia		
110.	Simcoe County District School Board	8142	Hillcrest PS		Orillia		
111.	Simcoe County District School Board	8193	Baxter Annex		Essa		
112.	Simcoe Muskoka Catholic District School Board	8324	St. Paul's Alliston		New Tecumseth		10,125,529
113.	Simcoe Muskoka Catholic District School Board	8291		Holy Trinity SS	Bradford West Gwillimbury		
114.	St. Clair Catholic District School Board	3791	St. Benedict Catholic School		Sarnia	4,880,372	
115.	Superior-Greenstone District School Board	896	B A Parker		Greenstone	4,790,422	
116.	Thames Valley District School Board	323	Caradoc South PS		Strathroy-Caradoc	33,723,928	
117.	Thames Valley District School Board	630	Drumbo PS		Blandford-Blenheim		
118.	Thames Valley District School Board	1890	Princeton PS		Blandford-Blenheim		
119.	Thames Valley District School Board	5897	Elmdale PS		St. Thomas		
120.	Thames Valley District School Board	1599	Myrtle Street PS		St. Thomas		
121.	Thames Valley District School Board	2443	Wellington PS		St. Thomas		
122.	Thames Valley District School Board	1398	Lucan Public School		Lucan Biddulph		
123.	Thames Valley District School Board	192	Biddulph Central Public School		Lucan Biddulph		
124.	Thames Valley District School Board	1835	Plover Mills Public School		Thames Centre		
125.	Thames Valley District School Board	1348	Leesboro Public School		Thames Centre		
126.	Thames Valley District School Board	1857	Prince Andrew Public School		Middlesex Centre		
127.	Thunder Bay Catholic District School Board	3653	Sacred Heart		Thunder Bay	8,309,883	
128.	Thunder Bay Catholic District School Board	4500	St. Thomas Aquinas		Thunder Bay		
129.	Toronto Catholic District School Board	9512	St. Edward		Toronto	28,173,890	
130.	Toronto Catholic District School Board	3711	St. Ambrose		Toronto		
131.	Toronto Catholic District School Board	3974	St. Gerard Majella		Toronto		
132.	Toronto Catholic District School Board	4421	St. Philip Neri		Toronto		
133.	Toronto Catholic District School Board	9511	St. Conrad		Toronto		
134.	Toronto Catholic District School Board	4334	St. Nicholas		Toronto		
135.	Toronto District School Board	9082	Churchill PS		Toronto		14,078,347

Excerpt from Ontario Regulation 85/08

136.	Toronto District School Board	8416	Nelson Mandela Park PS		Toronto	
137.	Trillium Lakelands District School Board	1597	Muskoka Falls PS		Bracebridge	3,478,556
138.	Upper Canada District School Board	5716		Vankleek Hill C. I.	Champlain	11,578,776
139.	Upper Grand District School Board	1211	John McCrae PS		Guelph	19,003,688
140.	Upper Grand District School Board	1276	King George PS		Guelph	
141.	Upper Grand District School Board	2342	Tytler PS		Guelph	
142.	Upper Grand District School Board	1024	Harriston PS		Minto	
143.	Waterloo Region District School Board	2073	Ryerson		Cambridge	6,186,883
144.	Waterloo Region District School Board	829	Floradale		Woolwich	
145.	Waterloo Catholic District School Board	3465	Notre Dame Sep S		Kitchener	7,278,686
146.	Waterloo Catholic District School Board	4346	St. Patrick Sep S		Kitchener	
147.	Wellington Catholic District School Board	4102	St. Joseph Elem.		Guelph	5,751,292
148.	York Catholic District School Board	3638	Holy Name Catholic Elementary School		King	22,418,490
149.	York Catholic District School Board	4252	St. Mary Immaculate School		Richmond Hill	
150.	York Catholic District School Board	3500	Our Lady of Good Counsel		East Gwillimbury	
151.	York Catholic District School Board	4321	St. Michael SS		Markham	
152.	York Catholic District School Board	3756	St. Anthony		Markham	
153.	York Region District School Board	5509		Markham District High School	Markham	47,564,770
154.	York Region District School Board	1285	King City PS		King	
155.	York Region District School Board	6351	Eva L. Dennis Building		King	
156.	York Region District School Board	2057	Royal Orchard PS		Markham	
157.	York Region District School Board	2558	Woodland PS		Markham	
158.	York Region District School Board	1720	Orchard Park PS		Whitchurch-Stouffville	

O. Reg. 36/09, s. 8.